

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/973,089 | CONNETT-PORCEDDU ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Stuart F. Baum | 1638 | |

All Participants:

(1) Stuart F. Baum.

(2) Jeffrey Ihnen.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 May 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
rejections of record

Claims discussed:
1-3, 22-28, 30-37, 39-43, 55-58

Prior art documents discussed:
prior art of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner's Amendment was approved by Applicants' representative putting claims in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)